Valley of the Moon Water District Comments on the Proposed Sonoma Mountain Community Services District "LAFCO Application Plan for Service"

Definitions:

LAFCO or LAFCo: Sonoma Local Agency Formation Commission

SDC: the former Sonoma Developmental Center

VOMWD: Valley of the Moon Water District

SMCSD: Proposed Sonoma Mountain Community Services District

WSA: Water Supply Assessment

SOI: LAFCo established Sphere of Influence

ADU: Accessory Dwelling Unit

Comments:

Oommone 1.	
SMCSD LAFCo	Meanwhile, the Valley's already limited local services are being called upon
Application	to serve what will be a rapidly growing population - our rural roads required
Reference	for mobility, our limited networks for water supply and sanitation services,
	and our evacuation routes that are vital in future wildfires. All are at risk of
Page/s: 5-6	being overwhelmed as the demand on them increases exponentially, and as
	climate change radically alters the context in which everything that happens

in our Valley is planned for, and implemented.

Additionally we live in a society that typical separates resources into public and private realms. There are public and private agencies, public and private lands, public and private schools, etc. This dichotomy is important in respect to citizen rights, regulatory laws, and management responsibilities.

However, our natural resources, like those on Sonoma Mountain and on the Valley floor, include water, land, and air, and much of our public and private infrastructure such as roads, sewer and water supply are irrevocably interconnected with each other. Our interdependence is most apparent when the resources become scarce due to a major accident or a natural

Yet public and private resources share boundaries and limitations, and are vulnerable to threats, both predictable and unforeseen, that we can only face if we work locally and if we work together. Recent wildfire disasters have taught us that we, as a community, are better off when private and public entities cooperate to protect ourselves, our community, and our shared resources. That cooperation will be one of the cornerstones of the Sonoma Mountain Community Services District in the Sonoma Valley.

Comment 1.

VOMWD	The above seems to infer that the VOMWD water supply is insufficient to
Response	meet current and projected future needs. This is incorrect and has been
	shown in a recent WSA done for the proposed development at the Hanna
	Property on Agua Caliente. It is also refuted by VOMWD's "Global WSA
	Tracking Tool" developed specifically to track water demand and predict
	possible shortfalls based on development and related water demand.
	Furthermore, the District's WSA done for the County of Sonoma's SDC
	Specific Plan called out that the former SDC's existing water supplies would
	be counted upon as part of VOMWD's supply portfolio to support the
	buildout. This would further increase water supplies and water system
	reliability not only in the VOMWD service area, but regionally.

Overlapping of territory where it would interfere with the jurisdiction and
functions of other established agencies. The boundary of the District has
been shaped to avoid potential overlaps with a future annexation of the
Springs area to the City of Sonoma and, where territorial overlaps occur with
agencies that provide services like water and sanitation, it will be made clear
that the District will not have authority for, nor does it intend to provide,
competing services in those areas.
It seems to VOMWD that the proposed SMCSD intends to exercise "authority
for" water in and around the former SDC site not only by owning and
operating the water system, but also by managing the watershed and riparian
corridors as outlined further in the comments below.
_

Comment 3.	ment 3.	
SMCSD LAFCo Application Reference	It is not the intention that the Sonoma Mountain Community Services District (SMCSD) become a conventional water district. The Sonoma Valley is served well by the Valley of the Moon Water District for the provision of traditional	
Page/s: 14	water related services to consumers within its boundaries. It is, however, the intention in forming the District, to work to better understand of the water ecosystem that exists on the western side of the Sonoma Valley- from the ridge line of Sonoma Mountain, down its eastern slopes to the valley floor, and ultimately to its contribution to sustainable yield of the Sonoma Valley Groundwater Subbasin.	
VOMWD Response	As mentioned in "Comment 2" above, the SMCSD is expressing a wish to exercise authority over aspects of the water system (in addition to operating a water system on the SDC campus). Furthermore, some of the functions	

outlined are under the purview of the CA Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Sonoma Valley GSA, so the efforts would be redundant.

Comment 4.	mment 4.	
SMCSD LAFCo	This is a critical time for water in the Sonoma Valley, and there are several	
Application	variables which will either ensure a future that meets both human and	
Reference	wildlife, riparian and environmental needs, or will jeopardize historic	
	qualities and potentially bring irreparable harm. These variables include: the	
Page/s: 14	quantity and quality of water that falls in the watershed and it's capture for current and future use; the extraction of groundwater to meet residential and commercial needs - both current and future and including protection of the riparian corridors of Sonoma Creek and the Public Resource Trust associated with them; the projected population growth of the future as it is driven by new development; and access to, and management of, water resources on Sonoma Mountain as a whole and with the boundaries of former Sonoma Development Center (SDC);.	
VOMWD	Again, the SMCSD has identified a job that is already being done, this time by	
Response	the County of Sonoma. The proponents also seem to be seeking control of	
	the water resources on Sonoma Mountain within the former SDC, which	
	VOMWD would rely upon to serve any new developments on the site. This	
	would create a possibly insurmountable hurtle to bringing the water system	
	online at all, since VOMWD is not interested in taking on the liability of	
	operating the water system at the site if it does not also have the water rights in more-or-less the same configuration that SDC did while in operation.	

Comment 5.	
SMCSD LAFCo	Current forecasts developed by the Valley of the Moon Water District
Application	(VOMWD) do not yet reflect developments already proposed and future
Reference	developments to come as the demands caused by the local RHNA
	allocations and the effects of recent State housing legislation come to bear.
Page/s: 14-15	An extrapolation of recent forecasts suggests that likely water demand,
	water supply and sub-basin depletion are not in equilibrium and that options
	to Increase groundwater pumping will only further deplete the subbasin -
	stretching further the gap between demand and sustainable yield.
	At the same time, a recent ruling by the Sonoma Superior Court is calling the
	County's management of surface and underground water a violation of both
	the Public Trust Doctrine and the California Environmental Quality Act, both
	of which safeguard fisheries and public resources. Stream-flow in the
	Sonoma Valley is vulnerable to periods of drought, and lack of stream-flow is
	the single largest factor holding back the recovery of the salmon and
	steelhead which, over thousands of years, have adapted to thrive in Sonoma
	Creek where summer stream-flows often dwindle.

VOMWD Response

This is not only untrue, but is also alarmist. VOMWD is aware of the major developments within its SOI and is well poised to supply water to likely minor developments (i.e. the addition of ADUs to residential properties). The fact that CA law now allows for increased infill and the addition of ADUs irrespective of zoning, does not mean that all property owners have the will or means to take advantage of such a situation. Furthermore, it is often the case that the physical building of an ADU displaces irrigated areas of landscaping resulting in a lower overall water demand on the property.

Also, the implication in this paragraph is that VOMWD will be increasing groundwater production in order to meet increasing demands. This is partly true, as VOMWD does intend to increase access to groundwater, however the purpose is to increase supply reliability, not to increase total supply. There are two main aquifers in the Sonoma Valley Subbasin, the upper and the lower. The upper is a sustainable aquifer that recovers each year with rainfall, and the lower is declining each year because it is confined under a 200'(+) thick clay layer. The lower aquifer is the reason the basin is classified as "high-priority" and the GSA needed to be formed. All of VOMWD's wells currently in operation are in the upper aquifer, meaning that they do not rely on the declining aquifer.

The one well VOMWD owns in the lower aquifer is not in operation due to water quality issues, and is also being used as an Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) pilot well. If the pilot is successful, the well could be used to inject water into the aquifer, providing a net benefit to its health rather than adding to the decline.

Comment 6.

SMCSD LAFCo Application Reference

Page/s: 15

It is therefore envisaged that the District will work, in collaboration with the Sonoma Mountain Trust, to establish a coalition of organizations in the Sonoma Valley, including the Sonoma Valley GSA, VOMWD, Sonoma Water, Sonoma Valley Sanitation District, Sonoma Ecology Center, Sonoma Land Trust, and others. The objective will be to build a more holistic perspective on water in the Sonoma Valley that will embrace and resolve the apparent impending imbalance between the demand for, and the available supply of, water In the Sonoma Valley.

The work of the coalition will provide a much broader and richer understanding of the impact of future development (both timing and magnitude) and valuable context for necessary investments in infrastructure. Its analysis and products will also inform the residents of the SMCSD, and of the Sonoma Valley at large, and it will provide a better understanding of the interdependencies that will govern their quality of life, safety, and confidence in the future.

VOMWD
Response

A district is not needed to do this. If a district were formed with this as one of its core intentions, it would exercise no authority to force such relationships or have a say in the capital planning of any of the above-mentioned agencies.

Comment 7.

SMCSD LAFCo Application Reference

Page/s: 22-23

The District and the SDC.

One of the objectives in forming the Sonoma Mountain Community Services District is to provide the community in the Sonoma Valley with a local public agency that has the standing to play a proactive part in the future of the Sonoma Development Center property. Even, under the right circumstances, to take over the long term development and management of the site itself on behalf of the community.

The fact that such a public agency is not presently in place has already proven to be a significant problem in negotiations between the community and the State and others. And, even with a District in formation, it is difficult to discuss terms of participation if it is not clear that the District will have the authority to operate under the categories of service that are necessary to fulfill the obligations the community would take under any agreement reached.

The Plan for Services in this document has so far focused primarily on the services and benefits that the District will provide to its communities, independent of services to the SDC. However, should participation in the future of the SDC prove to be possible in the future, it will also be necessary to have LAFCO's clear agreement on:

- a) the additional service category authorizations that the District would have available to serve and manage SDC if that becomes possible, and
- b) how the District could access those authorizations expeditiously, and without a lengthy process and vote, should the opportunity, arise.

The categories of service that are allowed under State law to Community Services Districts, and that will be needed if the opportunity for participation in the SDC is negotiated, include:

- Streets, roads, sidewalks and rights of way (facilities already in place at SDC),
- Street lighting and landscaping (facilities already in place at SDC)
- Power generation (including creation of a micro-grid)
- Cemeteries (should the management of Jack London State Park elect not to take over management of the existing memorial and cemetery at SDC)

- Fire protection (unless agreement is reached with the Sonoma Valley Fire District to provide those services)
- Water supply (unless agreement is reached with Valley of the Moon Water District to provide those services)

If, as we believe may be the case, it will be difficult for LAFCO to provide a blanket authorization of all of those categories, we ask that those services be approved as "Provisional Categories of Service" to be approved in principle and authorized when requested for use with SDC. We are open to discussing any provisions or arrangements that need to be incorporated into this Plan for Services to facilitate a solution.

Categories of Service.

In summary, following are the categories of service under which the District will operate as an Independent Community Services District if this application is approved as presented. Categories are referenced by their designation in Government Code Section 61100:

Baseline categories:

- (e) Recreation and Parks
- (f) Community Recreation Programs
- (p) Transportation
- (s) Community facilities
- (ae) Habitat mitigation and environmental protection
- (af) Broadband (communications)

Provisional categories (for use at SDC):

- (a) Water
- (d) Fire protection
- (g) Street lighting and landscaping
- (I) Roads sidewalks & rights of way
- (u) Power generation
- (ab) Cemeteries

The relationships between categories of service and the types of activity described in this document are shown in the attached chart. A pilot program to allow the district to sponsor housing at SDC is listed, but is not a part of this application to LAFCO.

It appears that the applicants wish to form the SMCSD in case it could
someday play a role in decision making, land use, and utility infrastructure at
SDC if the opportunity ever arises.
VOMWD does not wish to speak on behalf of other utilities or government
entities, however, it seems that the majority of the services listed are already
under the purview of established organizations such as: Sonoma County,
PG&E, State Parks, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sonoma
Valley Fire District, and Valley of the Moon Water District. These
organizations are in a better position to take on the roles outlined than a
fledgling CSD and have funding, infrastructure, expertise and human
resources already in place to do so. Certainly, VOMWD expects to operate
the water facilities that will serve the redevelopment of the SDC property.

Comment 8.	
SMCSD LAFCo	The relationships between categories of service and the types of activity
Application	described in this document are shown in the attached chart. A pilot program
Reference	to allow the district to sponsor housing at SDC is listed, but is not a part of
	this application to LAFCO.
Page/s: 24	
	(and accompanying chart)
VOMWD	Water is listed as a utility that the proposed SMCSD would provide to the
Response	SDC redevelopment. The SDC site is already within the SOI of VOMWD, and
	VOMWD does intend to be the water service provider to the redeveloped site.
	The applicants/SMCSD proponents have met with VOMWD staff and the SDC
	Subcommittee of the VOMWD Board of Directors and are aware of this fact.
	During that meeting, the SMCSD proponents ensured VOMWD that there
	was no intention to provide water services, and that category "(a)" was listed
	only because it was one of the services listed that a CSD could perform.

Comment 9.	iment 9.	
SMCSD LAFCo Application Reference Page/s: 24-31	Financing the District.	
VOMWD Response	The financing section is a bit vague, does not indicate water sales as a source of revenue and seems to rely heavily on grants. Grants typically	
	require a local percentage share – sometimes even a majority share in order	

to qualify. They are also very specific about how and when the funds can be
used, and are more typically available for "would be nice" projects as
opposed to funding critical functions. Government grants also tend to favor
disadvantaged communities, or areas where there are significant problems
facing communities, neither of these situations is true in the relatively
affluent and well managed areas of Glen Ellen and Sonoma Mountain.

Comment 10.	
SMCSD LAFCo	Mapping the Boundary.
Application	
Reference	
Page/s: 31	
VOMWD	The proposed SMCSD boundary includes quite a bit of conflict with the
Response	VOMWD boundary. Obviously VOMWD's main concern is SMCSD's effort to actually furnish water to the former SDC site, however, there are several other potential issues with the overlapping boundary as well. These include the SMCSD becoming essentially an additional regulatory barrier to actions VOMWD may wish to take in the future for the benefit of its water customers, and the additional financial burden that would be placed on VOMWD's customers who are also within the SMCSD service area.

Additional Comments:

It has been the prerogative of the California State Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) to encourage water system consolidation for the purposes of economies of scale, shared management operations expertise etcetera. Furthermore, when a new water system is seeking formation (as the SDC would be if brought back on-line under the management of a new district), the Water Board seeks established water systems within close proximity to own and operate the proposed system as part of their own. The SDC site is not only close to VOMWD's service area, it is already within VOMWD's Sphere of Influence, and sits between two pressure zones of VOMWD's existing system. It is very likely therefore, that the Water Board will encourage or even require consolidation with VOMWD. More information can be found on water system consolidation here:

https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drinking_water/certlic/drinkingwater/waterpartnership.html

Below are a few additional items regarding the relationship between the former SDC and VOMWD:

- VOMWD has been working closely with the County of Sonoma, State of California and the State's chosen developer on transitioning the water system to VOMWD for several years.
 VOMWD therefore, is well positioned to begin the annexation process as soon as certain conditions are met and has begun laying the groundwork to do so.
- VOMWD actually had four of its operators learn to run the water treatment plant while it was still in operation in order to assist in a smooth transition.
- VOMWD is the assumed water purveyor at the site in all of the documents that have been prepared on the State's dispossession of the property, including the Condition Assessment, Water Supply Assessment, and Transition Plan.
- VOMWD has budgeted for, and is currently advertising an RFP to conduct an "SDC
 Assessment", to help identify the needed components and likely costs of bringing the water
 system on-line under VOMWD management.
- Time is of the essence for VOMWD. The former SDC water resources acted as a hedge against the loss of the Sonoma Water aqueduct for nearly two decades before the system was shut down. For more information, please see VOMWD's website here: https://www.vomwd.org/emergency-water-supply
- Bringing the SDC water resources to bear therefore, has been prioritized by the VOMWD Board and staff, and is part of the Strategic Plan of VOMWD.